A Simple and Adaptive Learning Rate for FTRL in Online Learning with Minimax Regret of $\Theta(T^{2/3})$ and its Application to Best-of-Both-Worlds

Taira Tsuchiya and Shinji Ito

The University of Tokyo & RIKEN

September 28, 2024

General Online Learning Framework

Given a finite action set $\mathcal{A} = [k] := \{1, ..., k\}$ and an observation set \mathcal{O}

for $t = 1, 2, ..., T$ do Environment determines a loss function $\ell_t \colon \mathcal{A} \to [0,1]$ Learner selects an action $A_t \in \mathcal{A}$ based on past observations without knowing ℓ_t Learner then suffers a loss $\ell_t(A_t)$ and observes a feedback $o_t \in \mathcal{O}$

General Online Learning Framework

Given a finite action set $\mathcal{A} = [k] := \{1, ..., k\}$ and an observation set \mathcal{O}

\n
$$
t = 1, 2, \ldots, \mathcal{T}
$$
\n

\n\n For $t = 1, 2, \ldots, \mathcal{T}$ do\n

\n\n Environment determines a loss function $\ell_t : \mathcal{A} \to [0, 1]$ \n

\n\n learner selects an action $A_t \in \mathcal{A}$ based on past observations without knowing ℓ_t \n

\n\n learner then suffers a loss $\ell_t(A_t)$ and observes a feedback $o_t \in \mathcal{O}$ \n

Learner's Goal: Minimize the (pseudo-)regret R_{τ}

$$
R_T = \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{t=1}^T \ell_t(A_t) - \sum_{t=1}^T \ell_t(a^*)\right] \text{ for } a^* \in \argmin_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{t=1}^T \ell_t(a)\right]
$$

General Online Learning Framework

Given a finite action set $\mathcal{A} = [k] := \{1, ..., k\}$ and an observation set \mathcal{O}

\n
$$
t = 1, 2, \ldots, T
$$
\n

\n\n For $t = 1, 2, \ldots, T$ do\n

\n\n Environment determines a loss function $\ell_t: \mathcal{A} \to [0, 1]$ \n

\n\n learner selects an action $A_t \in \mathcal{A}$ based on past observations without knowing ℓ_t \n

\n\n learner then suffers a loss $\ell_t(A_t)$ and observes a feedback $o_t \in \mathcal{O}$ \n

Learner's Goal: Minimize the (pseudo-)regret R_{τ}

$$
R_T = \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{t=1}^T \ell_t(A_t) - \sum_{t=1}^T \ell_t(a^*)\right] \text{ for } a^* \in \argmin_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{t=1}^T \ell_t(a)\right]
$$

Examples of this framework

- expert problem: observe entire loss vectors $o_t = \ell_t \in [0,1]^k$
- multi-armed bandits: observe a loss of chosen arm $o_t = \ell_t(A_t)$

Follow-the-Regularized-Leader (FTRL)

A highly powerful framework for such online learning problems

Select an action selection probability vector q_t over A by minimizing the sum of cumulative (estimated) loss $\sum_{s=1}^{t-1} \widehat{\ell}_s(q)$ so far plus convex regularizer ψ :

$$
q_t \in \argmin_{q \in \mathcal{P}_k} \left\{ \sum_{s=1}^{t-1} \widehat{\ell}_s(q) + \beta_t \psi(q) \right\}, \quad A_t \sim q_t
$$

- \mathcal{P}_k : the set of probability distributions over $\mathcal{A} = [k]$
- $\beta_t > 0$: (a reciprocal of) learning rate at round t

Follow-the-Regularized-Leader (FTRL)

A highly powerful framework for such online learning problems

Select an action selection probability vector q_t over A by minimizing the sum of cumulative (estimated) loss $\sum_{s=1}^{t-1} \widehat{\ell}_s(q)$ so far plus convex regularizer ψ :

$$
q_t \in \argmin_{q \in \mathcal{P}_k} \left\{ \sum_{s=1}^{t-1} \widehat{\ell}_s(q) + \beta_t \psi(q) \right\}, \quad A_t \sim q_t
$$

- \mathcal{P}_k : the set of probability distributions over $\mathcal{A} = [k]$
- $\beta_t > 0$: (a reciprocal of) learning rate at round t

FTRL can perform adaptively to various properties of underlying loss functions by designing its regularizer ψ and learning rate $(\beta_t)_t!$

 \rightarrow Q. How to tune the learning rate?

Stability–Penalty Decomposition

The regret of FTRL is roughly bounded as

- stability term: large when the difference in FTRL outputs, q_t and q_{t+1} , is large
- penalty term: due to the strength of the regularizer

There is a tradeoff between these two terms.

Examples of z_t and h_t When using negative Shannon entropy $-H(\cdot)$ in MAB [\[Aue+02\]](#page-27-0), penalty is $h_t = H(q_t)$ or $h_t = \log k$, stability is $z_t = \mathbb{E}\big[\|\widehat{\ell}_t\|_{(\nabla^2 \psi(q_t))^{-1}}^2\big].$

Adaptive learning rates allow us to achieve various adaptive bounds

e.g., data-dependent bounds (first-order/second-order/path-length bounds), best-of-both-worlds bounds

 \bullet Use empirical stability $(z_s)_{s=1}^{t-1}$ and worst-case <u>penalty</u> terms $h_{\sf max} \geq \max_t h_t$ e.g., AdaGrad [\[MS10;](#page-28-0) [DHS11\]](#page-27-1), first-order algorithms [\[AHR12\]](#page-27-2), and many!

$$
1/\beta_t = \sqrt{\frac{\text{const}}{\text{const} + \sum_{s=1}^{t-1} z_s}}
$$

Adaptive learning rates allow us to achieve various adaptive bounds

e.g., data-dependent bounds (first-order/second-order/path-length bounds), best-of-both-worlds bounds

 \bullet Use empirical stability $(z_s)_{s=1}^{t-1}$ and worst-case <u>penalty</u> terms $h_{\sf max} \geq \max_t h_t$ e.g., AdaGrad [\[MS10;](#page-28-0) [DHS11\]](#page-27-1), first-order algorithms [\[AHR12\]](#page-27-2), and many!

$$
1/\beta_t = \sqrt{\frac{\text{const}}{\text{const} + \sum_{s=1}^{t-1} z_s}}
$$

 $\bullet\,$ Use $\bm{\mathsf{empirical}}\; \underline{\mathsf{penalty}}\; (h_{\mathsf{s}})^{t-1}_{\mathsf{s}=1}$ and $\bm{\mathsf{worst-case}}\;$ stability terms $z_{\mathsf{max}}\geq \mathsf{max}_t\, h_t$ for best-of-both-worlds bounds e.g., [\[ITH22;](#page-27-3) [TIH23a\]](#page-28-1)

$$
\beta_1 > 0
$$
, $\beta_{t+1} = \beta_t + \frac{\text{const}}{\sqrt{\text{const} + \sum_{s=1}^{t-1} h_{s+1}}}$

Adaptive learning rates allow us to achieve various adaptive bounds

e.g., data-dependent bounds (first-order/second-order/path-length bounds), best-of-both-worlds bounds

 \bullet Use empirical stability $(z_s)_{s=1}^{t-1}$ and worst-case <u>penalty</u> terms $h_{\sf max} \geq \max_t h_t$ e.g., AdaGrad [\[MS10;](#page-28-0) [DHS11\]](#page-27-1), first-order algorithms [\[AHR12\]](#page-27-2), and many!

$$
1/\beta_t = \sqrt{\frac{\text{const}}{\text{const} + \sum_{s=1}^{t-1} z_s}}
$$

 $\bullet\,$ Use $\bm{\mathsf{empirical}}\; \underline{\mathsf{penalty}}\; (h_{\mathsf{s}})^{t-1}_{\mathsf{s}=1}$ and $\bm{\mathsf{worst-case}}\;$ stability terms $z_{\mathsf{max}}\geq \mathsf{max}_t\, h_t$ for best-of-both-worlds bounds e.g., [\[ITH22;](#page-27-3) [TIH23a\]](#page-28-1)

$$
\beta_1 > 0
$$
, $\beta_{t+1} = \beta_t + \frac{\text{const}}{\sqrt{\text{const} + \sum_{s=1}^{t-1} h_{s+1}}}$

 $\bullet\,$ Use both empirical <u>stability</u> and $\underline{\text{penalty}}$ [\[TIH23b;](#page-28-2) [JLL23;](#page-27-4) [ITH24\]](#page-27-5) for data-dependent bounds and best-of-both-worlds bounds

Adaptive learning rates allow us to achieve various adaptive bounds

e.g., data-dependent bounds (first-order/second-order/path-length bounds), best-of-both-worlds bounds

 \bullet Use empirical stability $(z_s)_{s=1}^{t-1}$ and worst-case <u>penalty</u> terms $h_{\sf max} \geq \max_t h_t$ e.g., AdaGrad [\[MS10;](#page-28-0) [DHS11\]](#page-27-1), first-order algorithms [\[AHR12\]](#page-27-2), and many!

$$
1/\beta_t = \sqrt{\frac{\text{const}}{\text{const} + \sum_{s=1}^{t-1} z_s}}
$$

 $\bullet\,$ Use $\bm{\mathsf{empirical}}\; \underline{\mathsf{penalty}}\; (h_{\mathsf{s}})^{t-1}_{\mathsf{s}=1}$ and $\bm{\mathsf{worst-case}}\;$ stability terms $z_{\mathsf{max}}\geq \mathsf{max}_t\, h_t$ for best-of-both-worlds bounds e.g., [\[ITH22;](#page-27-3) [TIH23a\]](#page-28-1)

$$
\beta_1 > 0
$$
, $\beta_{t+1} = \beta_t + \frac{\text{const}}{\sqrt{\text{const} + \sum_{s=1}^{t-1} h_{s+1}}}$

 $\bullet\,$ Use both empirical <u>stability</u> and $\underline{\text{penalty}}$ [\[TIH23b;](#page-28-2) [JLL23;](#page-27-4) [ITH24\]](#page-27-5) for data-dependent bounds and best-of-both-worlds bounds

Almost all adaptive learning rates are for problems with a minimax regret of $\Theta(\sqrt{\overline{I}})$ \leftrightarrow Limited investigation into problems with a minimax regret of $\Theta(T^{2/3})$

There are many important online learning problems with a minimax regret of $\Theta(\,T^{2/3})$:

- partial monitoring with global observability [\[BPS11;](#page-27-6) [LS19\]](#page-28-3)
- graph bandits with weak observability $[AIO+15]$
- \bullet bandits with paid observations [\[Sel+14\]](#page-28-4)
- dueling bandits [\[SKM21\]](#page-28-5)
- online ranking [\[CT17\]](#page-27-8)
- \bullet bandits with switching costs [\[Dek+14\]](#page-27-9)

There are many important online learning problems with a minimax regret of $\Theta(\,T^{2/3})$:

- partial monitoring with global observability [\[BPS11;](#page-27-6) [LS19\]](#page-28-3)
- graph bandits with weak observability $[AIO+15]$
- \bullet bandits with paid observations [\[Sel+14\]](#page-28-4)
- dueling bandits [\[SKM21\]](#page-28-5)
- online ranking [\[CT17\]](#page-27-8)
- \bullet bandits with switching costs [\[Dek+14\]](#page-27-9)

Research Question

Can we provide a unified adaptive learning rate framework for online learning with a minimax regret of $\Theta(\,T^{2/3}),$ which allows us to achieve a certain adaptivity?

Objective Function that Adaptive Learning aims to Minimize

In online learning with the minimax regret of $\Theta(\,\mathcal{T}^{2/3})$, it is common to use forced exploration for FTRL:

$$
q_t \in \arg\min_{q \in \mathcal{P}_k} \left\{ \sum_{s=1}^{t-1} \widehat{\ell}_s(q) + \beta_t \psi(q) \right\}, \quad A_t \sim p_t = (1 - \gamma_t) q_t + \gamma_t u \quad \text{for } u \in \mathcal{P}_k
$$

Objective Function that Adaptive Learning aims to Minimize

In online learning with the minimax regret of $\Theta(\,\mathcal{T}^{2/3})$, it is common to use forced exploration for FTRL:

$$
q_t \in \arg\min_{q \in \mathcal{P}_k} \left\{ \sum_{s=1}^{t-1} \widehat{\ell}_s(q) + \beta_t \psi(q) \right\}, \quad A_t \sim p_t = (1 - \gamma_t) q_t + \gamma_t u \quad \text{for } u \in \mathcal{P}_k
$$

The regret of FTRL with a somewhat large exploration rate γ_t is known to be bounded as

Objective Function that Adaptive Learning aims to Minimize

In online learning with the minimax regret of $\Theta(\,\mathcal{T}^{2/3})$, it is common to use forced exploration for FTRL:

$$
q_t \in \arg\min_{q \in \mathcal{P}_k} \left\{ \sum_{s=1}^{t-1} \widehat{\ell}_s(q) + \beta_t \psi(q) \right\}, \quad A_t \sim p_t = (1 - \gamma_t) q_t + \gamma_t u \quad \text{for } u \in \mathcal{P}_k
$$

The regret of FTRL with a somewhat large exploration rate γ_t is known to be bounded as

Goal: construct adaptive learning rate that minimizes [\(1\)](#page-13-0) under the constraints that $(\beta_t)_t$ is non-decreasing and β_t depends on $(z_{1:t}, h_{1:t})$ or $(z_{1:t-1}, h_{1:t}).$

Step 1: Choose Exploration Rate γ_t

A naive way: choose $\gamma_t = \sqrt{z_t/\beta_t}$ so that the stability term and the bias term match. \rightarrow this choice does not work well because to obtain a regret bound of [\(1\)](#page-13-0), <u>a lower bound</u> $\det \chi > 0$ is needed.

(This lower bound is used to control the magnitude of the loss estimator ℓ_t .)

Step 1: Choose Exploration Rate γ_t

A naive way: choose $\gamma_t = \sqrt{z_t/\beta_t}$ so that the stability term and the bias term match. \rightarrow this choice does not work well because to obtain a regret bound of [\(1\)](#page-13-0), <u>a lower bound</u> $\det \chi > 0$ is needed.

(This lower bound is used to control the magnitude of the loss estimator ℓ_t .)

Alternative solution: consider the exploration rate of

$$
\gamma_t = \gamma'_t + u_t/\beta_t \quad \text{for} \quad u_t > 0
$$

With these choices, setting $\gamma_t'=\sqrt{{z_t}/{\beta_t}}$ yields

$$
\mathsf{Eq.}(1) \leq \sum_{t=1}^{T} \left(\frac{z_t}{\beta_t \gamma'_t} + (\beta_t - \beta_{t-1}) h_t + \left(\gamma'_t + \frac{u_t}{\beta_t} \right) \right)
$$
\n
$$
= \sum_{t=1}^{T} \left(2 \sqrt{\frac{z_t}{\beta_t}} + \frac{u_t}{\beta_t} + \underbrace{(\beta_t - \beta_{t-1}) h_t}_{\text{penalty}} \right) =: \mathsf{F}(\beta_{1:T}, z_{1:T}, u_{1:T}, h_{1:T}).
$$

Step 2: Choose Learning Rate β_t

<u>Idea</u>: choose β_t so that stability \pm bias terms and <u>penalty term</u> match! (inspired by [\[ITH24\]](#page-27-5))

$$
2\sqrt{\frac{z_t}{\beta_t}} + \frac{u_t}{\beta_t} = \frac{(\beta_t - \beta_{t-1})h_t}{\beta_t} \tag{2}
$$

Inspired by the above matching, consider

Stability–Penalty–Bias Matching (SPB-Matching, Rule 2 in the paper)

$$
\beta_t = \beta_{t-1} + \frac{1}{\widehat{h}_t} \left(2\sqrt{\frac{z_{t-1}}{\beta_{t-1}}} + \frac{u_{t-1}}{\beta_{t-1}} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma_t = \sqrt{z_t/\beta_t} + u_t/\beta_t
$$

Assume that when choosing β_t , we have an access to $h_t \geq h_t$.

Designed by following the simple principle of matching the stability, penalty, and bias elements!

Theorem

If learning rate β_t is given by SPB-matching, then for all $\epsilon \geq 1/\mathcal{T}$,

$$
F(\beta_{1:T}, z_{1:T}, u_{1:T}, h_{1:T})
$$

\n
$$
\lesssim \min \left\{ \left(\sum_{t=1}^{T} \sqrt{z_t \hat{h}_{t+1} \log(\epsilon T)} \right)^{\frac{2}{3}} + \left(\sqrt{z_{\max} \hat{h}_{\max}} / \epsilon \right)^{\frac{2}{3}}, \left(\sum_{t=1}^{T} \sqrt{z_t \hat{h}_{\max}} \right)^{\frac{2}{3}} \right\}
$$

\n
$$
+ \min \left\{ \sqrt{\sum_{t=1}^{T} u_t \hat{h}_{t+1} \log(\epsilon T)} + \sqrt{u_{\max} \hat{h}_{\max} / \epsilon}, \sqrt{\sum_{t=1}^{T} u_t \hat{h}_{\max}} \right\}.
$$

- Depending on the stability component Z_t and the penalty component h_t simultaneously
- \bullet Different from the existing stability–penalty adaptive type bounds $O\Big(\sqrt{z_t\widehat{h}_{t+1}\log T}\Big)$ in [\[TIH23b;](#page-28-2) [JLL23;](#page-27-4) [ITH24\]](#page-27-5)

Application: Best-of-Both-Worlds Algorithms

Best-of-Both-Worlds (BOBW) algorithm: achieve a near-optimal regret for stochastic and adversarial envs simultaneously

FTRL is known to be useful for constructing BOBW algorithms.

Main Result (2): BOBW for Problems with a Minimax Regret of $\Theta(T^{2/3})$

FTRL with α -Tsallis entropy $H_{\alpha}(p) = \frac{1}{\alpha} \sum_{i=1}^{k} (p_i^{\alpha} - p_i)$:

$$
q_t = \arg\min_{p \in \mathcal{P}_k} \left\{ \textstyle\sum_{s=1}^{t-1} \langle \widehat{\ell}_t, p \rangle + \beta_t \left(-H_\alpha(\rho) \right) + \bar{\beta} \left(-H_{\bar{\alpha}}(\rho) \right) \right\}, \quad \alpha \in (0,1), \ \bar{\alpha} = 1-\alpha,
$$

Theorem (informal)

The FTRL with **SPB-matching** β_t for z_t and h_t satisfying a condition achieves

$$
R_{\mathcal{T}} \lesssim \begin{cases} (z_{\max}h_1)^{1/3}\,T^{2/3} + \sqrt{u_{\max}h_1T} & \text{adversarial} \\ \frac{\rho}{\Delta^2}\log\big(T\Delta^2\big) + \left(\frac{C^2\rho}{\Delta^2}\log\big(\frac{T\Delta}{C}\big)\right)^{1/3} & \text{corrupted stochastic} \\ \frac{\rho}{\Delta^2}\log(\mathcal{T}) & \text{stochastic} \end{cases}
$$

for a problem-dependent constant $\rho > 0$.

The condition can be satisfied in several problems with a minimax regret of $\Theta(\,T^{2/3})\downarrow$

Case Study (1): Partial Monitoring with Global Observability

Partial monitoring: a general sequential decision-making problem with limited feedback

Consider PM game $\mathbf{G} = (\mathcal{L}, \Phi)$ with *k*-actions and *d*-outcomes for loss matrix $\mathcal{L} \in [0,1]^{k \times d}$, feedback matrix $\Phi \in \Sigma^{k \times d}$ $(\Sigma:$ the set of feedback symbols)

Learner observes \hat{L} and Φ for $t = 1, 2, ..., T$ do Environment determines an outcome $x_t \in \{1, \ldots, d\}$ Learner selects an action $A_t \in \mathcal{A}$ based on past observations without knowing x_t Learner then suffers an **unobserved** loss \mathcal{L}_{A_t,x_t} and observes a symbol $\Phi_{A_t,x_t} \in \Sigma$

Goal: Minimize the regret

$$
\mathsf{R}_{\mathcal{T}} = \mathbb{E}\Big[\sum_{t=1}^{\mathcal{T}} \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}_t, x_t} - \sum_{t=1}^{\mathcal{T}} \mathcal{L}_{a^*, x_t}\Big] \quad \text{for} \quad a^* = \arg\min_{a \in \{1, \dots, k\}} \mathbb{E}\Big[\sum_{t=1}^{\mathcal{T}} \mathcal{L}_{a, x_t}\Big]
$$

There exists a class called **globally observable** games with minimax regret of $\Theta(T^{2/3})$, which is characterized by the relationship between $\mathcal L$ and Φ .

Regret bounds for globally observable partial monitoring. T: the number of rounds, k: the number of actions, Δ : minimum suboptimality gap, $cc:$ a game-dependent constant, MS-type: an improved bound by [\[MS21\]](#page-28-6)

Case Study (2): Graph Bandits with Weak Observability

Graph bandits: interpolation and extrapolation of expert problems and multi-armed bandits

Learner observes a directed graph $G = (V, E)$ for $V = \{1, \ldots, k\}$ for $t = 1, 2, ..., T$ do Environment determines a loss vector $\ell_t \colon V \to \mathbb{R}$ Learner selects an action $A_t \in \mathcal{A}$ based on past observations without knowing ℓ_t Learner then suffers a loss $\ell(A_t)$ and observes a set of losses $\{\ell_t(a)\colon (A_t,a)\in E\}$

Goal: Minimize the regret R_T

There exists a class called weakly observable graphs with minimax regret of $\Theta(T^{2/3})$,

characterized by the structure of feedback graph G.

Figure: a weakly observable graph

Regret bounds for weakly observable graph bandits with no self-loops. T: the number of rounds, k: the number of actions, Δ : minimum suboptimality gap, δ : domination number (satisfying $\delta^*\leq \delta)$, δ^* : fractional domination number (satisfying $\delta^*\leq \delta)$

 a^a A hierarchical reduction-based approach, rather than a direct FTRL method, discarding past observations as doubling-trick. The variable δ can be replaced with δ^* .

- \bullet Investigated online learning with a minimax regret of $\Theta(\,T^{2/3})$
- Established a simple and adaptive learning rate framework called stability–penalty–bias matching (SPB-matching)
- FTRL with SPB-matching and Tsallis entropy regularization improves the existing BOBW regret bounds based on FTRL for partial monitoring with global observability and graph bandits with weak observability
- Future work: investigate if we can apply SPB-matching to other problems with a minimax regret of $\Theta(T^{2/3})$, such as bandits with switching costs [\[Dek+14\]](#page-27-9) and dueling bandits with Borda winner [\[SKM21\]](#page-28-5)

[AHR12] Jacob D. Abernethy, Elad Hazan, and Alexander Rakhlin. "Interior-Point Methods for Full-Information and Bandit Online Learning". In: IEEE Transactions on Information Theory 58.7 (2012), pp. 4164–4175. [Alo+15] Noga Alon et al. "Online Learning with Feedback Graphs: Beyond Bandits". In: Proceedings of The 28th Conference on Learning Theory. Vol. 40. 2015, pp. 23–35. [Aue+02] Peter Auer et al. "The Nonstochastic Multiarmed Bandit Problem". In: SIAM Journal on Computing 32.1 (2002), pp. 48–77. [BPS11] Gábor Bartók, Dávid Pál, and Csaba Szepesvári. "Minimax Regret of Finite Partial-Monitoring Games in Stochastic Environments". In: Proceedings of the 24th Annual Conference on Learning Theory. Vol. 19. 2011, pp. 133–154. [Che+21] Houshuang Chen et al. "Understanding Bandits with Graph Feedback". In: Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems. Vol. 34. 2021, pp. 24659–24669. [CT17] Sougata Chaudhuri and Ambuj Tewari. "Online Learning to Rank with Top-k Feedback". In: Journal of Machine Learning Research 18.103 (2017), pp. 1–50. [Dek+14] Ofer Dekel et al. "Bandits with switching costs: $T^{2/3}$ regret". In: Proceedings of the Forty-Sixth Annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing. 2014, pp. 459–467. [DHS11] John Duchi, Elad Hazan, and Yoram Singer. "Adaptive Subgradient Methods for Online Learning and Stochastic Optimization". In: Journal of Machine Learning Research 12.61 (2011), pp. 2121–2159. [DWZ23] Chris Dann, Chen-Yu Wei, and Julian Zimmert. "A Blackbox Approach to Best of Both Worlds in Bandits and Bevond". In: Proceedings of Thirty Sixth Conference on Learning Theory. Vol. 195. 2023, pp. 5503–5570. [ITH22] Shinji Ito, Taira Tsuchiya, and Junya Honda. "Nearly Optimal Best-of-Both-Worlds Algorithms for Online Learning with Feedback Graphs". In: Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems. Vol. 35. 2022, pp. 28631–28643. [ITH24] Shinji Ito, Taira Tsuchiya, and Junya Honda. "Adaptive Learning Rate for Follow-the-Regularized-Leader: Competitive Analysis and Best-of-Both-Worlds". In: arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.00715 (2024). [JLL23] Tiancheng Jin, Junyan Liu, and Haipeng Luo. "Improved Best-of-Both-Worlds Guarantees for Multi-Armed Bandits: FTRL with General Regularizers and Multiple Optimal Arms". In: Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems. Vol. 36. 2023, pp. 30918–30978. [KHN15] Junpei Komiyama, Junya Honda, and Hiroshi Nakagawa. "Regret Lower Bound and Optimal Algorithm in Finite Stochastic Partial Monitoring". In: Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems. Vol. 28. 2015, pp. 1792–1800.

